

How are you responding to this consultation.		
	Responses	Percent of respondents
Local resident	54	74.0%
Visitor to the city	4	5.5%
As a representative of a local business	10	13.7%
As a representative of a local community or voluntary group	8	11.0%
As a representative of a stakeholder group	1	1.4%
Licence holder	1	1.4%
Total	78	106.8%
Base: All respondents (n=73)		

Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to extend the SSA into Central Hove?								
		How are you responding to this consultation.					All respondents	
		Local resident	Visitor to the city	As a representative of a local business	As a representative of a local CVS group	As a representative of a stakeholder group		Licence holder
Strongly agree		30	1	0	7	0	1	37
		55.6%	25.0%	0.0%	87.5%	0.0%	100.0%	51%
Tend to agree		15	2	3	1	0	0	19
		27.8%	50.0%	30.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree		2	0	1	0	0	0	3
		3.7%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4%
tend to disagree		2	0	3	0	1	0	5
		3.7%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	7%
Strongly disagree		5	1	3	0	0	0	9
		9.3%	25.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12%
Total		54	4	10	8	1	1	73

Q2. Do you agree to the change of name from “café/bars” to “café”?									
		How are you responding to this consultation.					All respondents		
		Local resident	Visitor to the city	As a representative of a local business	As a representative of a local CVS group	As a representative of a stakeholder group		Licence holder	
	Strongly agree	20	3	0	8	0	1	29	
		37.0%	75.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	40%	
	Tend to agree	14	0	2	0	0	0	16	
		25.9%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22%	
	Neither agree nor disagree	7	0	3	0	0	0	9	
		13.0%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12%	
	tend to disagree	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	
		11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8%	
	Strongly disagree	5	1	5	0	1	0	11	
		9.3%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	15%	
	Don't know / not sure	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
		3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3%	
	Total		54	4	10	8	1	1	73

Q3. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to reduced hours for café/bar category in CIZ to earlier in the evening?								
		How are you responding to this consultation.					All respondents	
		Local resident	Visitor to the city	As a representative of a local business	As a representative of a local CVS group	As a representative of a stakeholder group		Licence holder
	Strongly agree	21	1	3	5	0	0	29
		40.4%	25.0%	30.0%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	40%

Tend to agree	11	0	0	1	0	0	12
	21.2%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	0	0	1	0	1	3
	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	100.0%	4%
tend to disagree	6	2	1	0	0	0	9
	11.5%	50.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12%
Strongly disagree	10	1	6	1	1	0	17
	19.2%	25.0%	60.0%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	23%
Don't know / not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1%
Total	52	4	10	8	1	1	71

Q3b. All who agree with a reduced hours for café/bar. What time do you consider would be appropriate and why?
10;30pm or later if consideration is given to residential areas regarding noise.
10:00 PM
10;30pm or later if consideration is given to residential areas regarding noise.
10:00 PM
10pm is a good time as people can eat by then and won't be drunk
10pm is more acceptable as less chance of mixing with pub customers
10pm when food is generally finished in cafes
10pm would be appropriate for those dining - as well as those drinking. The later it is open, the more drunk people may get and the louder they may shout going home and disturb local residents.
10pm would be late enough if these are genuinely cafe/bars rather than bars
10pm. Ties in with pubs not allowing outside drinking after that time.
11.30
11pm
11pm
11pm
11pm so that people have dispersed in surrounding streets by 11.30..
11pm. Customers don't leave as soon as the outlet stops serving and the outlet continues to be 'at work' long after the last customer has left, cleaning and clearing up. Last service at 11pm limits the likelihood of activity at the premises still going on after midnight.
21:00
22:00 so people are not disturbed with noise and groups dispersing

22.00 is late enough.this would give small bars a chance of survival
22.00.
22.30. A business that is ostensibly a cafe does not need to be open past that time.
7 pm. Most cafes serve food during the daytime period and evening extensions appear to be designed to circumvent alcohol restrictions
8 pm This would allow cafes to operate into the evening, but not all evening.
8pm - this reflects the fact that they are not bars.
9:00pm. Any later and these cafes will become drinking establishments.
9.30 pm
9/10, after that people would be drinking rather than eating....
9pm - there are plenty of other establishments in the area where people can purchase alcohol after this time.
Closure at 11pm
I would suggest 9pm latest. Any later time means that the establishment is really a 'Restaurant', not a Cafe. There needs to be a clearer definition of what constitutes a Cafe and what constitutes a Restaurant, especially if both have licences to serve alcohol with their food. On a separate but related point, you need to find a way of better defining "substantial" food. I see possible wriggle room here for establishments really wanting to focus more on selling alcoholic beverage than on providing food.

i) Do you agree to the change of name from 'caf /bars' to 'caf ' notes to Matrix to be amended to 'small food led establishment'? I do agree with the change in name. The rise in caf  bars in the city has been caused in part by applicants using the caf  bar designation to sell alcohol when they would not be able to get a pub licence. This rise has facilitated the rise in the number of caf  bars so that the CIZ in some areas (North Laine) is saturated with licensed premises. This term first used by local residents is now used by Councillors on the Licensing Panel to describe the situation in North Laine and also as part justification to refuse applications. In addition to the name change, I would welcome a tightening up of the conditions in 3.3.3. Tables should not include the bar. A definition of 'substantial food' should be provided. My dictionary (Chambers) defines substantial as 'considerable in amount' or 'nourishing' in relation to food. Many caf  bars have been given licences although they do not sell 'substantial' food as defined by a dictionary. I don't believe the Council should be providing its own definition or ignoring this condition. ii) Do you agree with the proposal to reduced hours for caf /bar category in CIZ to earlier in the evening? The CIZ is an area where the concentration of licensed premises is 'causing problems of crime and disorder'. The Licensing Panel has described areas of the city centre (particularly North Laine) as being saturated with licensed premises. The Government's Alcohol Strategy, 2012, makes it quite clear in section 3.7 that 'there is evidence of a clear link between the number of venues selling alcohol in one area and levels of harm, whether this is crime, damage to health, or harm to young people'. The Council's own 'Health Impact Assessment' 2009 made a number of pertinent points about the increase in licensed premises and the increase in the availability of alcohol. Is it not time that the Council consider in the face of all this evidence, putting a limit on the number of licensed premises and not granting any further alcohol licences in the CIZ. If areas of the city centre are saturated with licensed premises, and the concentration of licensed premises causes problems , why do we continue to grant licences? If the Council continues to grant caf  bar licences even in the CIZ then such areas will be well and truly inundated with caf  bars. Not only does this have an adverse impact on anti-social behaviour, it changes the character of the area. At the very least you could consider limiting the number to be found in any one street (eg no more than 10% of the properties), in the same way that the Council attempts to limit the spread of HMOs. In the Council's Scrutiny Panel on Alcohol, it was noted that 'all cafes will want to sell alcohol soon, which we note is already causing concern in the community'. In the Panel's list of recommendations was included the recommendation that the definition of residential or commercial area be reviewed and that the hours of sale be likewise reviewed. Section 7.16 If you do agree, what time do you consider would be appropriate and why? The Scrutiny Panel noted that many cafes were asking for caf  bar conditions. Such cafes probably closed at 6pm before becoming caf  bars. Certainly in North Laine in a residential area, 10.30pm is late enough.

It may seem arbitrary but 10pm would seem to be a realistic cut off for an evening meal, and would allow those wanting to drink to move to 'pubs'.

It should be discussed.

Most people use a cafe during the day Either on way to work to pick up breakfast and if time sit and eat their breakfast. Then lunchtime and after noon tea and maybe a bite to eat. So good time 7am through until 7pm.

Traditionally, cafes in North Laine have always closed at 6pm; most still do. Starbucks in Jubilee Street and Costa in Bond Street (chains) close at 8pm. If people require food and/or coffee after this time, nearly all the reputable pubs supply bespoke coffee and substantial food until late in the evening. Examples are Weatherspoons in North Street/corner of Bond Street who supply food and coffees until 10pm for food, and coffee is offered at Â£1.90 unlimited for the whole of the day and evening. The Dorset Arms in Gardner Street, and the Nelson in Trafalgar Street sell food and coffee until late in the evening. We believe there is no need for additional licensed outlets as the general public is well served for food and coffee by the existing high number of licensed outlets in North Laine. The problems that have arisen with caf /bars (apart from the saturation throughout the city) is that although many of them were granted licences on the grounds that they sold substantial food, served at tables, unfortunately licences were granted where applicants could serve just a plate of olives â€ justified as substantial food. In effect, they are bars, and can stay open until 11.30pm which has resulted in increased disturbance in the area. We therefore agree with the proposal to reduced hours for the cafe/bar category in CIZs and would suggest 10pm. We agree to the change of name from caf /bars to caf  (notes to Matrix to be amended to â€small food led establishmentâ€) with a closing time of 6pm. This will differentiate between cafes and restaurants. With closing time for cafes at 6pm, cafe/bars for 10pm and pubs 11 - midnight creates an improved balance in opening hours. There are 72 licensed premises in North Laine. Three have 24hrs licences. In 2005 there were 20 (mostly pubs).

Up to 8 pm at latest. To avoid noise and to tie in with parking restrictions thereby to try to keep parking spaces in north Laine for residents who are paying increasingly more for permits but having fewer spaces. If cafe bar times extended people can drive to area and park in resident bags after 8 pm